

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

IN RE APPLE INC. SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENTS RELATES TO:  
ALL ACTIONS

Case No. C-06-5208-JF

CLASS ACTION

**[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL  
JUDGMENT**

1           On this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, a hearing having been held before  
2 this Court to:

3           (i)       finally determine whether this Action satisfies the applicable prerequisites for class  
4 action treatment under Rules 23(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

5           (ii)      determine whether the terms and conditions of the Stipulation, which is  
6 incorporated herein by reference, is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be finally approved  
7 by the Court;

8           (iii)     determine whether judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with  
9 prejudice;

10          (iv)     determine whether the release of the Released Claims, as set forth in the  
11 Stipulation, should be provided to the Released Parties;

12          (v)      determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation for the distribution of the Net  
13 Settlement Fund is fair and reasonable, and should be approved by the Court; and

14          (vi)     consider the application of Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel for an award of Attorneys'  
15 Fees and Expenses.

16           It appearing that a notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court  
17 was mailed to all Class Members reasonably identifiable;

18           It appearing that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by  
19 the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over *Business Wire*, in  
20 accordance with the Court's Amended Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement, Directing  
21 Notice of Settlement, and Scheduling Settlement Fairness Hearing entered on \_\_\_\_\_,  
22 2010 ("Preliminary Approval Order");

23           It appearing that due notice of the Settlement Fairness Hearing was given in accordance  
24 with the Preliminary Approval Order; the respective parties having appeared by their attorneys of  
25 record; the Court having heard and considered evidence in support of the Settlement; the  
26 attorneys for the respective parties having been heard; an opportunity having been given to all  
27 other persons requesting to be heard in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; the  
28 Court having determined that Notice to the Class certified in the Action pursuant to the

1 Preliminary Approval Order was adequate and sufficient; and the Settlement having been  
2 considered by the Court; and

3 The Court, having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and otherwise  
4 having determined the fairness and reasonableness of the Settlement of the claims of the Class  
5 Members against the Defendants.

6 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

7 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the parties' Amended  
8 Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of November 12, 2010 ("Stipulation"), and all  
9 capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Stipulation.

10 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all  
11 parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

12 3. Each of the provisions of Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has  
13 been satisfied and the Action has been properly maintained according to the provisions of Rule  
14 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Based on the record in the Action, the Court finds  
15 and orders that (a) the Class as defined in the Preliminary Approval Order is so numerous that  
16 joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the  
17 Class that, as to the Settlement and related matters, predominate over individual questions;  
18 (c) Lead Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) Lead Plaintiff will fairly and  
19 adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class; and (e) a class action is superior to  
20 other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The Action is  
21 certified for settlement purposes as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the  
22 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities that  
23 purchased Apple common stock during the Class Period. Excluded from the Class are  
24 Defendants, all current and former directors and officers of Apple, and all employees of Apple  
25 and/or its subsidiaries during the Class Period, and any family member, trust, company, entity, or  
26 affiliate controlled or owned by any of the excluded persons and entities referenced above. Also  
27 excluded from the Class are all the persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1 attached hereto, each  
28 of whom filed a valid and timely request for exclusion from the Class.

1           4.     The Court finds that the form and content of the Notice, Publication Notice, and  
 2 Proof of Claim, and the procedures for publication, mailing, and distribution thereof as set forth  
 3 in the Preliminary Approval Order, satisfy the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of  
 4 Civil Procedure, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), and due process, and constitute the best notice  
 5 practicable under the circumstances. A full opportunity has been offered to Class Members to  
 6 object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for an  
 7 award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, to participate in the Settlement Fairness Hearing, and to  
 8 request exclusion from the Class. Thus, it is determined that all Class Members who did not  
 9 validly and timely request to be excluded from the Class as required by the Notice and the  
 10 Preliminary Approval Order are bound by this Order and Final Judgment.

11           5.     The adequacy of representation by Lead Plaintiff is consistent with the  
 12 requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and the Private  
 13 Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA").

14           6.     The Settlement is approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best  
 15 interests of the Class Members. The Stipulation and Settlement are finally approved in all  
 16 respects, and the Settling Parties are directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with  
 17 the terms and provisions of the Stipulation.

18           7.     The Court finds that during the course of the Action, Plaintiffs, Defendants and  
 19 their respective counsel have at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the  
 20 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

21           8.     The Action is dismissed with prejudice. The Released Claims are fully, finally,  
 22 and forever resolved, discharged and settled on the merits and with prejudice. The Released  
 23 Parties and Class Members are forever barred and enjoined from instituting, continuing, or  
 24 prosecuting any action asserting any Released Claims against any Released Party.

25           9.     The Stipulation and any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in  
 26 furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement, or any negotiation, discussion or proceedings in  
 27 connection with the Stipulation or the Settlement:

28           a.     shall not be offered or received against Released Defendants as evidence of

1 or construed as or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any  
 2 Released Defendants with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by any Plaintiffs or the validity  
 3 of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or the  
 4 deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any  
 5 litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of or by Released Defendants;

6                   b.        shall not be offered or received against Released Defendants as evidence of  
 7 a presumption, concession, or admission of any fault, misrepresentation, or omission with respect  
 8 to any statement or written document approved or made by any Released Defendants;

9                   c.        shall not be offered or received against Released Defendants as evidence of  
 10 a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault or  
 11 wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any Released Defendants,  
 12 in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as  
 13 may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; provided however, that Released  
 14 Defendants may refer to the Stipulation to effectuate the rights and liability protections granted to  
 15 them hereunder; or

16                   d.        shall not be construed against Released Defendants as an admission or  
 17 concession that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or  
 18 would have been recovered after trial.

19               10.       The Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or the Order and Final Judgment  
 20 in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim  
 21 based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment  
 22 for or reduction or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or  
 23 counterclaim.

24               11.       Exclusive jurisdiction is retained over the Settling Parties and the Class Members  
 25 for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or  
 26 enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment.

27               12.       The finality of this Order and Final Judgment shall not be affected, in any manner,  
 28 by rulings that the Court may make on the motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation or

1 regarding the amount of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to be awarded pursuant to Plaintiffs' Lead  
 2 Counsel's application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.

3       13. Apple shall have no obligation to make any payment into the Settlement Fund  
 4 except as specifically provided in the Stipulation.

5       14. There shall be no distribution of any of the Settlement Fund to any Authorized  
 6 Claimant until after the Effective Date and after: (i) all Proofs of Claim have been processed, and  
 7 all Claimants whose Proofs of Claim have been rejected or disallowed, in whole or in part, have  
 8 been notified and provided the opportunity to be heard concerning such rejection or disallowance;  
 9 (ii) all objections with respect to all rejected or disallowed Proofs of Claim have been resolved by  
 10 the Court, and all appeals therefrom have been resolved or the time therefor has expired; (iii) all  
 11 matters with respect to attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements have been resolved by the Court,  
 12 all appeals therefrom have been resolved or the time therefor has expired; and (iv) all costs of  
 13 administration have been paid.

14       15. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the  
 15 terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, this Order and Final Judgment shall  
 16 be rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases  
 17 delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void, and the Settlement Fund or any portion  
 18 thereof or interest thereon, shall be returned to Apple as provided in the Stipulation.

19       16. The Plan of Allocation is approved as fair and reasonable, and the Claims  
 20 Administrator is directed to administer the Settlement in accordance with the terms and  
 21 provisions of the Stipulation.

22       17. Plaintiffs' Counsel are awarded Attorneys' Fees and Expenses in the amount of  
 23 \_\_\_\_\_ for reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the representation of the Class.  
 24 The Court finds that the amount of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses awarded is fair and reasonable.  
 25 The foregoing award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses shall be paid by Apple to Plaintiffs' Lead  
 26 Counsel at the time and in the manner provided in the Stipulation. The award of Attorneys' Fees  
 27 and Expenses shall be allocated among Plaintiffs' Counsel in a fashion which, in the opinion of  
 28 Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, fairly compensates Plaintiffs' Counsel for their respective contributions

1 in the prosecution of the Action.

2 18. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment and  
3 immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the  
4 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6

7 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

---

The Honorable Jeremy Fogel  
United States District Judge

9

10 Submitted by:

11 GEORGE A. RILEY (S.B. #118304)  
12 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
13 Two Embarcadero Center  
14 28th Floor  
15 San Francisco, California 94111-3828  
16 Telephone: (415) 984-8700  
17 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701  
18 E-Mail: griley@omm.com

19 Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

20 DOUGLAS R. YOUNG (S.B. #73248)  
21 FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP  
22 Russ Building  
23 235 Montgomery Street, 17th floor  
24 San Francisco, California 94104  
25 Telephone: (415) 954-4400  
26 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480  
27 E-Mail: dyoung@fbm.com

28 Attorneys for Defendants  
29 STEVEN P. JOBS, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL,  
30 MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D.  
31 LEVINSON and JEROME B. YORK

1 JEROME C. ROTH (S.B. #159483)  
2 YOHANCE C. EDWARDS (S.B. #237244)  
3 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
4 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077  
E-Mail: Jerome.Roth@mto.com  
Yohance.Edwards@mto.com

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
7 FRED D. ANDERSON and NANCY R. HEINEN

8 JAY W. EISENHOFER (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
9 MICHAEL J. BARRY (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.  
10 Chase Manhattan Centre  
1201 N. Market Street  
11 Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 622-7000  
Facsimile: (302) 622-7100  
E-Mail: jeisenhofer@gelaw.com  
mbarry@gelaw.com

12 MERRILL GLEN EMERICK (SB# 117248)  
13 ANDERLINI & EMERICK LLP  
14 411 Borel Avenue, Suite 501  
15 San Mateo, California 94402  
Telephone: (650) 242-4884  
Facsimile: (650) 212-0081

16 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff  
17 THE NEW YORK CITY EMPLOYEES'  
18 RETIREMENT SYSTEM

19 PATRICE L. BISHOP (S.B. #182256)  
HOWARD T. LONGMAN  
20 STULL, STULL & BRODY  
10940 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2300  
21 Los Angeles, California 90024  
Telephone: (310) 209-2468  
Facsimile: (310) 209-2087  
Email: pbishop@ssbla.com

22 GARY S. GRAIFMAN  
KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN  
747 Chestnut Ridge Road  
23 Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977  
Telephone: (845) 356-2570  
Facsimile: (845) 356-4335

24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
25 MARTIN VOGEL and KENNETH MAHONEY